1		THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN	
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
9	WASHINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL	No. 2:11-cv-00417-MJP	
10	COUNCIL and SIERRA CLUB WASHINGTON STATE CHAPTER,	INTERVENOR-DEFENDANT'S NOTICE OF SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORITY	
11	Plaintiffs,	OF SUFFLEWENTAL AUTHORITT	
12	v.		
13 14	THEODORE ("TED") L. STURDEVANT, DIRECTOR, WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF ECOLOGY, in his		
15	official capacity, MARK ASMUNDSON, DIRECTOR, NORTHWEST CLEAN AIR		
16	AGENCY, in his official capacity, and CRAIG T. KENWORTHY, DIRECTOR, PUGET SOUND CLEAN AIR AGENCY,		
17	in his official capacity,		
18	Defendants,		
19	WESTERN STATES PETROLEUM ASSOCIATION,		
20 21	Intervenor-Defendant.		
22	The parties' cross-motions regarding the	he merits of Plaintiffs' claims in this litigation have	
23	been fully briefed and are now pending with this Court. Oral argument has been scheduled for		
24	November 22, 2011. This notice is being provided to alert the Court to supplemental authority in		
25			
26			
	INTEDVENOD DEEENDANT'S NOTICE		

the form of a federal district court decision issued on October 17, 2011 after submission of all 1 2 briefs in this matter. 3 Attached is a copy of *In re Polar Bear Endangered Species Act Listing and § 4(d) Rule* Litigation, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 119476 (D.D.C. Oct. 17, 2011). The principal holdings of the 4 5 decision – that the polar bear 4(d) rule is lawful under the Endangered Species Act and rational, 6 but that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service failed to comply with the National Environmental 7 Policy Act – are not relevant here. However, in the course of reaching these holdings, the court addressed in detail the inability of current knowledge and science to link greenhouse gas 8 9 emissions from any source and climate change at a given location. See id. at \*47-\*49. For example, the court found that: 10 11 In a memorandum summarizing the most recent findings on this issue by the leading international climate science research organizations, the United States 12 Geological Survey determined that "[i]t is currently beyond the scope of existing science to identify a specific source of CO2 emissions and designate it as the 13 cause of specific climate impacts at an exact location."... Similarly, in a 14 memorandum to the Service, the Environmental Protection Agency Office of Air and Radiation observed that "[t]he climate change research community has not 15 yet developed tools specifically intended for evaluating or quantifying end-point impacts attributable to the emissions of [greenhouse gases] from a single source, 16 and we are not aware of any scientific literature to draw from regarding the climate effects of individual, facility-level [greenhouse gas] emissions. 17 18 *Id.* at \*48 (citations to administrative record omitted). 19 The above-cited aspects of the *In re Polar Bear* decision are directly relevant to 20 matters addressed, authorities cited and testimony provided by Defendant-Intervenor 21 regarding the merits at Dkt. 50 §§ II.A and V, and Dkt. 52. In addition, this supplemental 22 authority bears upon the sufficiency of Plaintiffs' standing, which is premised upon 23 conjecture, and inadmissible speculation and opinion testimony by unqualified lay 24 25 declarants, each alleging, contrary to all scientific evidence, that greenhouse gas 26

1	emissions of Washington refineries are linked to climate change effects in Washington.	
2	See Dkt. 50 at 33, Dkt. 61 at 21-22.	
3	DATED: October 25, 2011	
4	DATED: October 25, 2011.	
5		STOEL RIVES LLP
6		
7	$rac{f}{\mathbf{J}}$	s/ Jeffrey W. Leppo effrey W. Leppo, WSBA No. 11099
8	. A second secon	Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendant
9	`	Western States Petroleum Association
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

1	<u>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</u>		
2	I hereby certify that on October 25, 2011, I filed a copy of foregoing document with the		
3	Clerk of the Court for the United States District Court – Western District of Washington by using		
4	the CM/ECF system. Participants in this Case No. 2:11-cv-00417 who are registered CM/EC		
5	users will be served by the CM/ECF system.		
6			
7	Janette K. Brimmer, jbrimmer@earthjustice.org		
8	Brian W. Chestnut, <u>bchestnut@zcvbs.com</u> Jennifer A. Dold, <u>jenniferd@pscleanair.org</u>		
9	Joshua Osborne-Klein, joshok@zcvbs.com Ryan P. Steen, rpsteen@stoel.com		
10	Katharine G. Shirey, ecyolyef@atg.wa.gov		
11	Laura J. Watson, <u>lauraw2@atg.wa.gov</u>		
12			
13	/s/ Jeffrey W. Leppo Jeffrey W. Leppo		
14	Jenney W. Leppo		
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			